

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claim 20 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-9 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Baumann et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 3,462,159). Claims 10, 11 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumann et al. in view of Bartley (U.S. Pat. No. 4,055,107). Claims 12 and 13 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 16-19 and 21-29 were allowed. Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as set forth in the Office Action


The allowable subject matter of claim 12 has been incorporated into claim 1. The informality of claim 20 has been corrected. Therefore, claims 1-11 and 15-29 should be in condition for allowance.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By: 
John C. Holman
Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666
Date: January 21, 2010
JCH/JLS:crj